

6. *[If applicable]* The plaintiff(s) shall provide HIPAA-compliant medical records release authorizations to the defendant(s) no later than **__Not applicable__**.
7. Discovery
 - a. The parties are to conduct discovery in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York.
 - b. The parties are to discuss, if and as appropriate, provisions for the disclosure, discovery, or preservation of electronically stored information (ESI). Any agreement reached between the parties **or dispute** concerning ESI is to be filed within 30 days from the date of this Order.
 - c. The parties are to discuss whether a procedure for designating materials as confidential is necessary in this matter. Any agreement between the parties for designating materials as confidential must conform to the Court's Individual Rules regarding the filing of materials under seal. Any confidentiality agreement between the parties **or dispute concerning confidentiality issues** is to be filed within 30 days from the date of this Order.
 - d. The parties are also to discuss protocols for asserting claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material after such information is produced, pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502. Any agreement reached between the parties concerning such protocols is to be filed within 30 days from the date of this Order.
 - e. All fact discovery shall be completed no later than **May 1, 2020**. [*A date not more than 120 days following the initial pretrial conference, unless the Court finds that the case presents unique complexities or other exceptional circumstances.*]
 - f. All expert discovery, including reports, production of underlying documents, and depositions, shall be **conducted in accordance with a schedule to be issued following a ruling on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The parties shall promptly meet and confer regarding a schedule for expert discovery upon the Court's ruling on Plaintiffs' class certification motion, and file a joint proposed schedule for expert discovery no later than 7 days after the Court's decision.** [*Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than 45 days from the date in paragraph 7(e) (i.e., the completion of all fact discovery).*]
 - g. **Class certification shall be governed by the following deadlines:**

Plaintiffs' motion for class certification and supporting expert reports are due: March 20, 2020.

Defendants' deadline to depose Plaintiffs' class certification experts: May 1, 2020.

Defendants' opposition to class certification and supporting expert reports are due: June 18, 2020.

Plaintiffs' deadline to depose Defendants' class certification experts: July 30, 2020.

Plaintiffs' reply in support of class certification: September 16, 2020.

8. Interim Discovery Deadlines

- a. Initial requests for production of documents shall be served by **December 10, 2018.**
 - i. **No later than January 17, 2019, the parties shall exchange objections and responses to initial requests for production and each party responding to requests for production shall provide a list of proposed custodians and an initial proposal for electronic search of custodians for its productions.**
 - ii. **The parties shall reach agreement or impasse on all issues relating to initial requests for production and custodians no later than February 15, 2019.**
 - iii. **The parties shall reach agreement or impasse on all issues relating to how custodial files shall be searched (including search terms, if applicable) no later than 30 days from reaching agreement on custodians.**
- b. Interrogatories pursuant to Rule 33.3(a) of the Local Civil Rules of the Southern District of New York **may be served any time following entry of this Order.** No Rule 33.3(a) interrogatories need to be served with respect to disclosures automatically required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a).
- c. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, contention interrogatories pursuant to Rule 33.3(c) of the Local Civil Rules of the Southern District of New York must be served no later than 30 days before the close of fact discovery. No other interrogatories are permitted except upon prior express permission of the Court.

- d. Depositions of fact witnesses shall be completed by **May 1, 2020**.
 - i. Absent an agreement between the parties or an order from the Court, depositions are not to be held until all parties have responded to initial requests for document production.
 - ii. There is no priority in deposition by reason of a party's status as a plaintiff or a defendant.
 - iii. Absent an agreement between the parties or an order from the Court, non-party depositions shall follow initial party depositions.
- e. Requests to admit shall be served by **April 1, 2020**.
- f. Any of the deadlines in paragraphs 8(a) through 8(e) and 8(h) through 8(k) may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that all fact discovery is completed by the date set forth in paragraph 7(e).
- g. No later than **7 days after any ruling on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification**, the parties shall meet and confer on a schedule for expert disclosures, including reports, production of underlying documents, and depositions, provided that expert report(s) of the party with the burden of proof shall be due before those of the opposing party's expert(s); and (ii) **the deadlines for** expert discovery shall be **governed by the procedure** set forth in paragraph 7(f).
- h. Rolling production of documents in response to requests for production shall begin no later than April 25, 2019.**
- i. Substantial completion of document production in response to requests for production shall be accomplished no later than September 1, 2019.**
- j. Privilege logs shall be provided on a rolling basis beginning no later than July 16, 2019. All privilege logs associated with documents produced by the substantial completion deadline shall be produced no later than October 16, 2019.**
- k. The parties are to reach a protocol on requested transactional data no later than February 1, 2019. The deadline to produce all requested transactional data is May 15, 2019.**

9. All motions and applications shall be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York, and the Court's Individual Rules of Practice in Civil Cases ("Individual Rules"), which are available at <http://nysd.uscourts.gov/judge/Failla>.
10. In the case of discovery disputes, parties should follow Local Civil Rule 37.2 with the following modifications. Any party wishing to raise a discovery dispute with the Court must first confer in good faith with the opposing party, in person or by telephone, in an effort to resolve the dispute. If this meet-and-confer process does not resolve the dispute, the party may submit a letter to the Court, no longer than three pages, explaining the nature of the dispute and requesting an informal conference. Such a letter must include a representation that the meet-and-confer process occurred and was unsuccessful. If the opposing party wishes to respond to the letter, it must submit a responsive letter, not to exceed three pages, within three business days after the request is received. Counsel should be prepared to discuss with the Court the matters raised by such letters, as the Court will seek to resolve discovery disputes quickly, by order, by conference, or by telephone.
11. All counsel must meet in person for at least one hour to discuss settlement within 14 days following the close of fact discovery EXCEPT in cases brought as putative collective actions under the Fair Labor Standards Act, in which case counsel must meet to discuss settlement within 14 days following the close of the opt-in period.
12. Alternative dispute resolution/settlement
 - a. Counsel for the parties have discussed an informal exchange of information in aid of early settlement of this case and have agreed upon the following:

The parties have not engaged in any settlement discussions to date. Given the nature of the case and claims, the parties do not believe that meaningful settlement discussions are possible at this time.

- b. Counsel for the parties have discussed the use of the following alternate dispute resolution mechanisms in this case: (i) a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge; (ii) participation in the District's Mediation Program; and/or (iii) retention of a privately retained

mediator. Counsel for the parties propose the following alternate dispute resolution mechanism for this case:

The parties have not engaged in any settlement discussions to date. Given the nature of the case and claims, the parties do not believe that meaningful settlement discussions are possible at this time.

c. Counsel for the parties recommend that the alternate dispute resolution mechanism designated in paragraph 12(b) be employed at the following point in the case (e.g., within the next 60 days; after the deposition of plaintiff is completed (specify date); after the close of fact discovery):

The parties remain willing to engage in settlement discussions at an appropriate time.

d. The use of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this Order.

13. Absent good cause, the Court will not ordinarily have summary judgment practice in a non-jury case. Before filing a summary judgment motion, the moving party must file a pre-motion submission pursuant to Section 4(A) of the Court's Individual Rules. The submission shall be filed within 30 days of the close of fact or expert discovery, whichever comes later.
14. Similarly, any motion to exclude the testimony of experts pursuant to Rules 702-705 of the Federal Rules of Evidence and the *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), line of cases, is to be filed within 30 days of the close of fact or expert discovery, whichever is later. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, opposition to any such motion is to be filed two weeks after the motion is served on the opposing party, and a reply, if any, is to be filed one week after service of any opposition.
15. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, within 30 days of the close of all discovery, or, if a dispositive motion has been filed, within 30 days of a decision on such motion, the parties shall submit to the Court for its approval a Joint Pretrial Order prepared in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3). The parties shall also follow Paragraph 5 of the Court's Individual Rules, which paragraph identifies submissions that must be made at or before the time of the Joint Pretrial Order, including any motions *in limine*.

16. If this action is to be tried before a jury, joint requests to charge, joint proposed verdict forms, and joint proposed *voir dire* questions shall be filed on or before the Joint Pretrial Order due date in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules. Jury instructions may not be submitted after the Joint Pretrial Order due date, unless they meet the standard of Fed. R. Civ. P. 51(a)(2)(A). If this action is to be tried to the Court, proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law shall be filed on or before the Joint Pretrial Order due date in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules.
17. Unless the Court orders otherwise for good cause shown, the parties shall be ready for trial 30 days after the Joint Pretrial Order is filed.
18. This case [is **X** / is not] to be tried to a jury.
19. Counsel for the parties have conferred and the present best estimate of the length of trial is **four weeks** .
20. Other issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3), are set forth below.

The parties have supplemented the Court's standard Civil Case Management Plan and Scheduling Order with several additional deadlines applicable to this action. These proposed additions are located at ¶7.g (class certification deadlines), and ¶8.a, h-k (interim discovery deadlines). The parties also respectfully propose that the Court defer a deadline and schedule for expert discovery until after class certification issues have been decided, as reflected in ¶7.f and ¶8.g._____

Respectfully submitted by counsel for the Parties:

/s/ Michael B. Eisenkraft

Michael B. Eisenkraft
Matthew Ruan
Christopher Bateman
Alice Buttrick (admission pending)
COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL
PLLC
88 Pine Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10005
Telephone: (212) 838-7797
Fax: (212) 838-7745
meisenkraft@cohenmilstein.com
mruan@cohenmilstein.com
cbateman@cohenmilstein.com
abuttrick@cohenmilstein.com

Julie Goldsmith Reiser
Emmy L. Levens
Robert W. Cobbs (*pro hac vice*)
1100 New York Ave NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 408-4600
Fax: (202) 408-4699
jreiser@cohenmilstein.com
elevens@cohenmilstein.com
rcobbs@cohenmilstein.com

Peter Safirstein
SAFIRSTEIN METCALF LLP
1250 Broadway, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10001
Telephone: (212) 201-2845
psafirstein@safirsteinmetcalf.com

/s/ Daniel L. Brockett

Daniel L. Brockett
Sascha N. Rand
Steig D. Olson
Maaren A. Shah
Thomas J. Lepri
Justin Reinheimer
Thomas Popejoy
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
danbrockett@quinnemanuel.com
sascharand@quinnemanuel.com
steigolson@quinnemanuel.com
maarensah@quinnemanuel.com
thomaslepri@quinnemanuel.com
justinreinheimer@quinnemanuel.com
thomaspopejoy@quinnemanuel.com

Jeremy D. Andersen (*pro hac vice*
forthcoming)
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
jeremyandersen@quinnemanuel.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System, Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association, Orange County Employees Retirement System, Sonoma County Employees' Retirement Association, and Torus Capital, LLC

/s/ Adam S. Hakki

Adam S. Hakki
Richard F. Schwed
SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 848-4000
ahakki@shearman.com
rschwed@shearman.com

Ryan A. Shores (*pro hac vice*)
401 9th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 508-8100
ryan.shores@shearman.com

***Attorneys for Defendants Merrill Lynch,
Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated,
Merrill Lynch L.P. Holdings, Inc., and
Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corp.***

/s/ David I. Gelfand

David I. Gelfand (*pro hac vice*)
Alexis Collins (*pro hac vice*)
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &
HAMILTON LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 974-1690
dgelfand@cgsh.com
alcollins@cgsh.com

Carmine D. Boccuzzi, Jr.
One Liberty Plaza
New York, NY 10006
Telephone: (212) 225-2508
cboccuzzi@cgsh.com

***Attorneys for Defendants EquiLend LLC,
EquiLend Europe Limited, and EquiLend
Holdings LLC***

/s/ Sheila C. Ramesh

David G. Januszewski
Herbert S. Washer
Elai Katz
Jason M. Hall
Sheila C. Ramesh
Margaret A. Barone
CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP
80 Pine Street
New York, NY 10005
Telephone: (212) 701-3000
djanuszewski@cahill.com
hwasher@cahill.com
ekatz@cahill.com
jhall@cahill.com
sramesh@cahill.com
mbarone@cahill.com

***Attorneys for Defendants Credit Suisse AG,
Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Credit
Suisse First Boston Next Fund, Inc., and
Credit Suisse Prime Securities Services
(USA) LLC***

/s/ Robert Y. Sperling

Robert Y. Sperling
Staci Yablon
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
35 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60601
Telephone: (312) 558-7941
rsperling@winston.com
syablon@winston.com

Richard C. Pepperman, II
Andrew H. Reynard
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
125 Broad Street
New York, NY 10004
Telephone: (212) 558-4000
peppermanr@sullcrom.com
reynarda@sullcrom.com

***Attorneys for Defendants Goldman Sachs &
Co. LLC and Goldman Sachs Execution &
Clearing, L.P.***

/s/ Henry Liu

Robert D. Wick
Henry B. Liu
John S. Playforth
Kuntal V. Cholera
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One CityCenter
850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: (202) 662-6000
rwick@cov.com
hliu@cov.com
jplayforth@cov.com
kcholera@cov.com

***Attorneys for Defendants J.P. Morgan
Chase Bank, N.A., J.P. Morgan Securities
LLC, J.P. Morgan Prime, Inc., and J.P.
Morgan Strategic Securities Lending Corp.***

/s/ Alan J. Brudner

Alan J. Brudner
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
575 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022-2585
Telephone: (212) 940-8800
alan.brudner@kattenlaw.com

David C. Bohan
Peter G. Wilson
Sarah K. Weber
525 West Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60661-3693
Telephone: (312) 902-5200
david.bohan@kattenlaw.com
peter.wilson1@kattenlaw.com

***Attorneys for Defendants UBS AG, UBS
Americas Inc., UBS Securities LLC, and
UBS Financial Services Inc.***

/s/ Daniel Slifkin

Daniel Slifkin
Michael A. Paskin
Damaris Hernández
Lauren M. Rosenberg
CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
Worldwide Plaza
825 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 474-1000
dslifkin@cravath.com
mpaskin@cravath.com
dhernandez@cravath.com
lrosenberg@cravath.com

***Attorneys for Defendants Morgan Stanley &
Co. LLC, Prime Dealer Services Corp., and
Strategic Investments I, Inc.***

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE COURT IF APPLICABLE:

_____ shall file a motion for _____ no later than _____. Any opposition shall be filed by _____ and any reply shall be filed by _____. One courtesy copy of all motion papers, marked as such, shall be mailed or hand delivered to the Court by the movant at the time the reply is served. All courtesy copies should be three-hole punched, tabbed, and placed in binders as specified in the Court's Individual Rules.

The next pretrial conference is scheduled for Tuesday, May 19, 2020 at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom 618 of the Thurgood Marshall Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, New York, New York 10007.

By Thursday of the week prior to that conference, the parties shall submit via e-mail (Failla_NYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov) a joint letter, not to exceed three pages, regarding the status of the case. The letter should include the following information in separate paragraphs:

- (1) A statement of all existing deadlines, due dates, and/or cut-off dates;
- (2) A brief description of any outstanding motions;
- (3) A brief description of the status of discovery and of any additional discovery that needs to be completed;
- (4) A statement describing the status of any settlement discussions and whether the parties would like a settlement conference;
- (5) A statement of the anticipated length of trial and whether the case is to be tried to a jury;
- (6) A statement of whether the parties anticipate filing motions for summary judgment, including the basis of any such motion; and
- (7) Any other issue that the parties would like to address at the pretrial conference or any information that the parties believe may assist the Court in advancing the case to settlement or trial.

This Order may not be modified or the dates herein extended, except by further Order of this Court for good cause shown. Unless the Court orders otherwise, parties engaged in settlement negotiations must proceed on parallel tracks, pursuing settlement and conducting discovery simultaneously. Parties should not assume that they will receive an extension of an existing deadline if settlement negotiations fail. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein (except as provided in paragraph 8(f)) shall be made in a written application in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and must be made no fewer than two business days prior to the expiration of the date sought to be extended.

SO ORDERED.



KATHERINE POLK FAILLA
United States District Judge

Dated: November 15, 2018
New York, New York

Given the extended schedule proposed by the parties, the Court will not further extend any of these deadlines.